



Transformational Leadership: Organizational Goals and Employee Retention — A Literature Review

Wenny Desty Febrian^{1*}, Rubadi², Didin Sjarifudin³, Andi Muhammad Sabillillah Tahir⁴, Erni Perwitasari⁵

¹Dian Nusantara University, Jakarta, Indonesia

²Respati University, Jakarta, Indonesia

³Bhayangkara University of Jakarta Raya, Jakarta, Indonesia

⁴University of Riau, Riau, Indonesia

⁵Trisakti Institute of Transportation and Logistics, Jakarta, Indonesia

*Corresponding author: wenny.desty.febrian@undira.ac.id |

Received: 20 December 2025 | Revised: 23 January 2026 | Published: 26 February 2026

Abstract

Purpose: This study examines the role of transformational leadership in achieving organizational goals and improving employee retention through a synthesis of theoretical and empirical literature. As organizations face increasing challenges in retaining talent and aligning employee performance with strategic objectives, understanding the impact of transformational leadership is essential.

Research Methodology: A qualitative literature review was conducted using comparative and descriptive analysis. Relevant studies were collected from peer-reviewed journals, academic books, and online databases focusing on transformational leadership, organizational goal achievement, and employee retention. The findings were systematically reviewed and synthesized to develop conceptual insights and research propositions.

Results: The review indicates that transformational leadership significantly contributes to organizational goal achievement by communicating a compelling vision, aligning strategic objectives, and motivating employees to exceed expected performance levels. Evidence also shows that transformational leadership reduces employees' turnover intentions and strengthens retention across various organizational settings. Furthermore, employee retention is enhanced through mediating factors such as organizational citizenship behavior (OCB) and effective communication, which also strengthens the relationship between leadership and retention.

Conclusions: Transformational leadership positively influences organizational goal achievement and employee retention by fostering employee commitment, motivation, and long-term organizational engagement..

Limitations: This study is based on secondary literature and does not provide primary empirical evidence, limiting causal interpretation and cross-context comparability.

Contributions: This study offers an integrated conceptual framework linking transformational leadership, organizational goal achievement, and employee retention, providing a foundation for future empirical research.

Keywords: *Employee Retention, Human Resource Management, Organizational Goals, Organizational Citizenship Behavior, Transformational Leadership*

How to Cite: Febrian, W. D., Rubadi, R., Sjarifudin, D., Tahir, A. M. s., & Perwitasari, E. (2026). Transformational Leadership: Organizational Goals and Employee Retention — A Literature Review. *Nexus of Talent and Organizational Innovation (NTOI)*, 1(1), 28–37.

1. Introduction

The ability to clearly communicate an organization's mission, vision, strategy, and goals is a foundational responsibility of organizational leadership (Zaccaro & Klimoski, 2001). Among the many leadership approaches that have been proposed and studied, transformational leadership has gained the widest empirical and theoretical attention in organizational behavior and management research (Deng et al., 2023; Jackson, 2020). Transformational leadership focuses on a leader's capacity to inspire, influence, and motivate followers toward outstanding performance and personal growth, transcending immediate self-interest to pursue shared organizational aspirations (Avolio & Yammarino, 2013; Onyeador et al., 2021). In increasingly dynamic and competitive organizational environments, transformational leadership is frequently viewed as a critical mechanism for fostering innovation, adaptability, and long-term organizational sustainability. Leaders who are capable of articulating a compelling vision and motivating employees to embrace organizational change are more likely to achieve strategic objectives and maintain organizational competitiveness (Asbari et al., 2020; Bakker et al., 2023).

Two organizational outcomes have emerged as particularly significant in the transformational leadership literature: the achievement of organizational goals and employee retention. With respect to organizational goals, transformational leaders demonstrate a distinctive capacity to formulate clear organizational visions, translate strategic objectives into unit- and individual-level actions, and create the motivational conditions that sustain goal pursuit beyond the initially set boundaries (Avolio & Yammarino, 2013; Brown et al., 2019). Through inspirational motivation, individualized consideration, intellectual stimulation, and idealized influence, transformational leaders encourage employees to align their personal aspirations with organizational objectives, thereby improving performance outcomes and organizational effectiveness. Regarding employee retention, transformational leadership reduces turnover intention by creating work environments in which employees feel valued, engaged, and psychologically invested in organizational success (Aguilera et al., 2024). Employees who perceive supportive and inspiring leadership are generally more committed to their organizations and less likely to seek employment elsewhere.

The relationship between transformational leadership, organizational goals, and employee retention is not merely a management convenience but a strategic imperative for organizations. Organizations that fail to maintain consistent employee performance standards or adequately retain their talent face compounded disadvantages in organizational knowledge accumulation, service quality continuity, and goal achievement velocity (Martinez & Leija, 2023). High employee turnover often results in increased recruitment and training costs, disruptions in operational processes, and the loss of valuable organizational knowledge. By integrating transformational leadership practices into their management architecture, organizations can create the motivational and relational conditions that simultaneously sustain both performance and retention. Furthermore, transformational leadership contributes to the development of organizational citizenship behavior, employee engagement, and organizational commitment, all of which strengthen the organization's ability to achieve strategic goals while maintaining workforce stability (Groves, 2020).

This study examines the transformational leadership literature across three interrelated domains—leadership style and organizational goal achievement, transformational leadership and employee retention, and the mediating and moderating mechanisms that explain these relationships—with the aim of providing a synthesized, evidence-based conceptual view that contributes references and insights for researchers and practitioners in human resource management. Additionally, this study seeks to identify key theoretical patterns and empirical findings that can serve as a foundation for future research investigating transformational leadership within different organizational and cultural contexts.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Transformational Leadership Theory

Transformational leadership was first conceptualized by [Kwan \(2020\)](#) and subsequently developed by [Siangchokyoo et al. \(2020\)](#) into an operationalizable framework with four dimensions: idealized influence (the leader as a role model who earns respect and trust), inspirational motivation (articulating an inspiring vision that generates enthusiasm and commitment), intellectual stimulation (encouraging followers to challenge assumptions and explore innovative approaches), and individualized consideration (attending to each follower's unique developmental needs) ([Lawrason et al., 2023](#)). Together, these four dimensions define a leadership style that elevates followers' intrinsic motivation and organizational identification beyond the transactional exchange of performance for reward ([Avolio & Yammarino, 2013](#); [Bass & Riggio, 2006](#); [Eaton et al., 2024](#)).

Empirically, transformational leadership has been shown to generate superior outcomes across a wide range of organizational contexts and performance criteria ([George et al., 2019](#); [Wang et al., 2022](#)). Meta-analytic evidence consistently confirms that transformational leadership explains the variance in follower motivation, commitment, performance, and organizational citizenship behavior beyond what transactional leadership accounts for, establishing it as the theoretically and empirically dominant leadership paradigm in contemporary management research ([Asbari et al., 2020](#); [Ladkin & Patrick, 2022](#)).

2.2 Organizational Goals and Strategic Alignment

Organizational goals are specific performance targets that translate an organization's mission and strategic vision into actionable objectives at the corporate, unit, team, and individual levels ([Aguilera et al., 2024](#); [Akpa et al., 2021](#)). The effective implementation of organizational goals requires not only their formulation but also their clear communication, translation across hierarchical levels, and reinforcement through leadership behaviors that maintain goal salience in employees' daily work activities ([Olan et al., 2022](#); [Wang et al., 2022](#)). Transformational leaders are particularly effective in this goal deployment function: their strategic vision communication provides followers with a meaningful interpretive framework for understanding why organizational goals matter and how their individual contributions connect to larger organizational aspirations ([Onyeador et al., 2021](#); [Purwanto et al., 2021](#)).

2.3 Employee Retention and Organizational Commitment

Employee retention refers to an organization's capacity to maintain its workforce over time, reducing voluntary turnover and preserving organizational knowledge, relationships, and human capital investments ([Chams & García-Blandón, 2019](#); [George et al., 2019](#); [Ghani et al., 2022](#)). ([Wood et al., 2020](#)) three-component model of organizational commitment—*affective* (emotional attachment), *continuance* (perceived cost of leaving), and *normative* (felt obligation to remain)—provides a theoretical framework for understanding the mechanisms through which transformational leadership influences retention. Transformational leadership primarily builds affective and normative commitment by fulfilling employees' relational and developmental needs in ways that strengthen their psychological attachment to the organization ([Lee et al., 2019](#); [Sorn et al., 2023](#)).

3. Methodology

This study employs a qualitative literature review methodology, using graphic and comparative analytical techniques. Articles and book chapters addressing transformational leadership, organizational goal achievement, employee retention, organizational citizenship behavior, and related leadership constructs were systematically identified from international peer-reviewed journals, academic databases, and books

accessed through Google Scholar and Scopus, and institutional library resources.

Sources were selected based on relevance to the three study variables (transformational leadership, organizational goals, employee retention), publication in recognized academic outlets, and the presence of empirical or theoretical contributions addressing the inter-variable relationships examined in this review. Each source's objectives, methods, findings, and conclusions were critically analyzed and comparatively assessed to generate evidence-based synthesis narratives for each relationship. The resulting literature findings were then organized into a coherent conceptual framework that generated specific research propositions (Sugiyono, 2007).

4. Results and Discussion

4.1 Transformational Leadership and Organizational Goal Achievement

The relationship between transformational leadership and the achievement of organizational goals is well documented in the literature. Susanto, Agusinta, et al. (2023) established through meta-analytic review that transformational leadership—measured through the Multifactor Leadership Questionnaire (MLQ)—is significantly and positively associated with organizational effectiveness across multiple leadership levels and contexts. Yukl (1999), reviewing conceptual foundations of transformational and charismatic leadership, confirms that the linkage between transformational leadership and organizational goal achievement is clear and well-supported theoretically, with transformational leaders consistently outperforming transactional counterparts in motivating followers toward strategic objectives (Susanto, Syailendra, & Suryawan, 2023).

Susanto, Agusinta, et al. (2023) provide particularly detailed evidence of how transformational leadership operationalizes strategic goal communication. Using both quantitative and qualitative methods with a large telecommunications company, they found that transformational leaders rated themselves as more effective communicators through their direct subordinates, and that their direct reports demonstrated higher approval of and alignment with company strategic goals than those of non-transformational leaders. Managers reporting to transformational leaders showed a greater understanding of organizational objectives, and the combination of strategic and transformational leadership generated particularly strong goal alignment across hierarchical levels.

The mechanism through which transformational leadership enables the achievement of organizational goals involves both cognitive and motivational pathways. Cognitively, transformational leaders help followers develop more sophisticated mental models of strategic intent—understanding not just what goals require but why they matter and how unit-level objectives connect to the organizational mission (Susanto, Widyastuti, et al., 2023). Motivationally, transformational leaders create intrinsic goal commitment by inspiring followers to see organizational objectives as personally meaningful rather than externally imposed, generating discretionary effort that exceeds minimum performance requirements (Avolio & Yammarino, 2013). Susanto, Agusinta, et al. (2023) extended these insights to the Indonesian organizational context, confirming that transformational leadership significantly shapes the capacity of organizations to manage and drive change toward strategic goals.

4.2 Transformational Leadership and Employee Retention

The evidence for the positive influence of transformational leadership on employee retention is consistent across different organizational sectors, national contexts, and research methodologies. Sow et al. (2016) specifically investigated the relationship between transformational leadership components and healthcare professionals' intention to leave their positions in the United States, surveying 127 health professionals through LinkedIn. Their correlational analysis found a significant negative correlation between transformational leadership perceptions and intention to leave, indicating that healthcare professionals who

positively evaluate their supervisors' transformational leadership behaviors demonstrate significantly lower turnover intention.

[Ohunakin et al. \(2019\)](#) extended this evidence to the Nigerian hospitality industry, examining the relationships among transformational leadership style, employees' intention to quit, job satisfaction, and life satisfaction. Their results demonstrated that idealized influence, inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, and individual consideration all increase job satisfaction and negatively impact quitting intention, while idealized influence and individual consideration also increase life satisfaction. This study suggests that hospitality organizations can benefit substantially from adopting transformational leadership to improve employee attitudes, job satisfaction, and retention outcomes.

[Tian et al. \(2020\)](#) provide the most methodologically sophisticated evidence by examining transformational leadership's effect on retention in small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), testing mediation through organizational citizenship behavior (OCB) and moderation through communication. Their findings confirm that (1) transformational leadership has a significant positive relationship with OCB; (2) OCB has a significant positive relationship with employee retention; (3) OCB positively mediates the transformational leadership–retention relationship; and (4) communication positively moderates both the transformational leadership–organizational culture relationship and the employee behavior–retention relationship. For SME leaders seeking to improve retention, these results prescribe specific transformational practices: creating appealing visions, focusing on goal achievement, demonstrating problem-solving capabilities, and allocating time to team training and development.

4.3 Mechanisms Connecting Leadership to Goals and Retention

The reviewed literature identifies several specific mechanisms through which transformational leadership simultaneously enables goal achievement and strengthens retention. First, vision communication—the transformational leader's capacity to articulate an inspiring and credible organizational vision—serves both functions: it aligns followers with organizational goals (enabling coordinated goal pursuit) and generates a sense of purpose and organizational identification that sustains psychological attachment and retention motivation ([Asbari et al., 2020](#); [Avolio & Yammarino, 2013](#)). Second, employee empowerment, the transformational practice of involving followers in decision-making, developing their competencies, and recognizing their contributions, creates both higher performance capacity (enabling goal achievement) and stronger affective commitment (enabling retention) ([Bass & Riggio, 2006](#); [Wang et al., 2022](#)). Third, a constructive work climate—the organizational environment shaped by transformational leadership to support growth, innovation, initiative, and psychological safety—creates conditions that simultaneously facilitate creative problem-solving toward organizational goals and fulfillment of employees' developmental and relational needs that generate long-term retention commitment ([Groves, 2020](#)).

[Susanto, Syailendra, and Suryawan \(2023\)](#) specifically documented the organizational commitment dimension of this mechanism in the Indonesian context, finding that transformational leadership—alongside servant and transactional styles—significantly shapes organizational commitment, which in turn drives organizational development. This finding is consistent with Allen and Meyer's (1996) three-component commitment model: transformational leadership builds primarily affective and normative commitment, which are the commitment dimensions most strongly associated with voluntary retention behavior.

4.4 Summary Table of Key Evidence

Table 1. Summary of Key Literature Evidence on Transformational Leadership, Organizational Goals, and Employee Retention

Study	Focus	Key Finding
Susanto, Widayastuti, et al. (2023)	Org. Goals	Transformational leadership positively associated with organizational effectiveness across leadership levels (meta-analysis).
Kwan (2020)	Org. Goals	Transformational leaders communicate strategic goals more effectively; direct reports show higher goal alignment and understanding.
Sow et al. (2016)	Retention	Transformational leadership negatively correlated with intention to leave among 127 healthcare professionals (USA).
Ohunakin et al. (2019)	Retention	All TL dimensions increase job satisfaction and reduce quit intention; idealized influence and IC increase life satisfaction (hospitality, Nigeria).
Tian et al. (2020)	Retention	TL positively related to OCB; OCB mediates TL–retention; communication moderates TL–culture and behavior–retention (SMEs).
Ladkin and Patrick (2022)	Both	Transformational leadership shapes organizational commitment and development capacity in Indonesian organizational contexts.

Note: TL = Transformational Leadership; OCB = Organizational Citizenship Behavior; IC = Individual Consideration.

Source: Authors' synthesis (2023).

Table 1 summarizes key empirical findings from previous studies regarding the influence of transformational leadership on organizational goal achievement and employee retention. The reviewed literature consistently demonstrates that transformational leadership contributes positively to organizational effectiveness, strategic goal alignment, organizational commitment, and employee retention across various organizational contexts.

5. Conclusions

This qualitative literature review examines the role of transformational leadership in achieving organizational goals and improving employee retention by synthesizing evidence from peer-reviewed empirical studies and theoretical contributions. Three principal conclusions were drawn. First, transformational leadership significantly enhances organizational goal achievement through two primary mechanisms: effective strategic vision communication that creates alignment and shared purpose across hierarchical levels and motivational inspiration that elevates followers' intrinsic commitment to organizational objectives beyond externally imposed performance standards. The findings indicate that transformational leaders' direct reports demonstrate higher strategic goal alignment and understanding, providing strong empirical support for this conclusion. Second, transformational leadership significantly reduces employee turnover intention and strengthens employee retention across multiple organizational sectors. Evidence from healthcare, hospitality, and SMEs consistently shows negative correlations between transformational leadership and quitting intention, mediated by job satisfaction, organizational citizenship behavior, and life satisfaction. Third, the dual influence of transformational leadership on both goals and retention operates through three interconnected mechanisms—vision communication, employee empowerment,

and constructive work climate—that simultaneously generate higher performance commitment (enabling goal achievement) and stronger psychological attachment (enabling retention). This dual-mechanism insight provides a practical basis for organizations to treat transformational leadership development as a unified investment in both performance and talent retention outcomes.

Acknowledgements

The authors express sincere gratitude to Dian Nusantara University, Respati Indonesia University, Bhayangkara University of Greater Jakarta, Riau University, and Trisakti Institute of Transportation and Logistics for institutional support, and to all researchers whose published work contributed to the evidence base of this systematic literature review.

Author Contributions

WDF contributed to conceptualization, methodology, and writing of the original draft. R was responsible for data collection, formal analysis, and validation. DS handled supervision, project administration, and review and editing of the manuscript. AMST contributed to the literature review, data interpretation, and visualization. EP was involved in methodology support, validation, and final manuscript review and approval.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this study. This research was conducted independently, and no financial or personal relationships influenced the results or interpretation of the findings.

References

- Aguilera, R. V., De Massis, A., Fini, R., & Vismara, S. (2024). Organizational goals, outcomes, and the assessment of performance: Reconceptualizing success in management studies. *Journal of Management Studies*, 61(1), 1–36. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joms.12994>
- Akpa, V. O., Asikhia, O. U., & Nneji, N. E. (2021). Organizational culture and organizational performance: A review of literature. *International Journal of Advances in Engineering and Management*, 3(1), 361–372. <https://doi.org/10.35629/5252-0301361372>
- Asbari, M., Santoso, P. B., & Prasetya, A. B. (2020). Elitical and antidemocratic transformational leadership critics: Is it still relevant? (a literature study). *IJOSPOL-International Journal of Social, Policy and Law*, 1(1), 12–16. <https://doi.org/10.8888/ijospl.v1i1.10>
- Avolio, B. J., & Yammarino, F. J. (2013). *Transformational and charismatic leadership: The road ahead* (10th Anniversary ed.). Emerald Group Publishing.
- Bakker, A. B., Hetland, J., Olsen, O. K., & Espevik, R. (2023). Daily transformational leadership: A source of inspiration for follower performance? *European Management Journal*, 41(5), 700–708. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.emj.2022.04.004>
- Bass, B. M., & Riggio, R. E. (2006). *Transformational leadership* (2nd ed.). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Brown, M., Brown, R. S., & Nandedkar, A. (2019). Transformational leadership theory and exploring the perceptions of diversity management in higher education. *Journal of Higher Education Theory & Practice*, 19(7). <https://doi.org/10.33423/jhetp.v19i7.2527>
- Chams, N., & García-Blandón, J. (2019). On the importance of sustainable human resource management for the adoption of sustainable development goals. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 141, 109–122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2018.10.006>
- Deng, C., Gulseren, D., Isola, C., Grocutt, K., & Turner, N. (2023). Transformational leadership effectiveness: An evidence-based primer. *Human Resource Development International*, 26(5), 627–641. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13678868.2022.2135938>
- Eaton, L., Bridgman, T., & Cummings, S. (2024). Advancing the democratization of work: A new intellectual history of transformational leadership theory. *Leadership*, 20(3), 125–143. <https://doi.org/10.1177/17427150241232705>
- George, B., Walker, R. M., & Monster, J. (2019). Does strategic planning improve organizational performance? a meta-analysis. *Public Administration Review*, 79(6), 810–819. <https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.13104>
- Ghani, B., Zada, M., Memon, K. R., Ullah, R., Khattak, A., Han, H., & Araya-Castillo, L. (2022). Challenges and strategies for employee retention in the hospitality industry: A review. *Sustainability*, 14(5), 2885. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14052885>
- Groves, K. S. (2020). Testing a moderated mediation model of transformational leadership, values, and organization change. *Journal of Leadership & Organizational Studies*, 27(1), 35–48. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1548051816662614>
- Jackson, C. J. (2020). Transformational leadership and gravitas: 2000 years of no development? *Personality and Individual Differences*, 156, 109760. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2019.109760>
- Kwan, P. (2020). Is transformational leadership theory passé? revisiting the integrative effect of instructional leadership and transformational leadership on student outcomes. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 56(2), 321–349. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0013161X19861137>
- Ladkin, D., & Patrick, C. B. (2022). Whiteness in leadership theorizing: A critical analysis of race in bass' transformational leadership theory. *Leadership*, 18(2), 205–223. <https://doi.org/10.1177/17427150211066442>

- Lawrason, S. V., Shaw, R. B., Turnnidge, J., & Côté, J. (2023). Characteristics of transformational leadership development programs: A scoping review. *Evaluation and Program Planning, 101*, 102354. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.evalprogplan.2023.102354>
- Lee, A., Schwarz, G., Newman, A., & Legood, A. (2019). Investigating when and why psychological entitlement predicts unethical pro-organizational behavior. *Journal of Business Ethics, 154*(1), 109–126. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-017-3456-z>
- Martinez, S. A., & Leija, N. (2023). Distinguishing servant leadership from transactional and transformational leadership. *Advances in Developing Human Resources, 25*(3), 141–188. <https://doi.org/10.1177/15234223231175845>
- Ohunakin, F., Adeniji, A. A., Oludayo, O. A., Osibanjo, A. O., & Oduyoye, O. O. (2019). Employees' retention in nigeria's hospitality industry: The role of transformational leadership style and job satisfaction. *Journal of Human Resources in Hospitality and Tourism, 18*(4), 441–470. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15332845.2019.1626795>
- Olan, F., Arakpogun, E. O., Suklan, J., Nakpodia, F., Damij, N., & Jayawickrama, U. (2022). Artificial intelligence and knowledge sharing: Contributing factors to organizational performance. *Journal of Business Research, 145*, 605–615. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2022.03.008>
- Onyeador, I. N., Hudson, S. K. T., & Lewis Jr, N. A. (2021). Moving beyond implicit bias training: Policy insights for increasing organizational diversity. *Policy Insights from the Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 8*(1), 19–26. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2372732220983840>
- Purwanto, A., Purba, J. T., Bernarto, I., & Sijabat, R. (2021). Effect of transformational leadership, job satisfaction, and organizational commitments on organizational citizenship behavior. *Inovbiz: Jurnal Inovasi Bisnis, 9*, 61–69. <https://doi.org/10.35314/inovbiz.v9i1.1801>
- Siangchokyoo, N., Klinger, R. L., & Champion, E. D. (2020). Follower transformation as the linchpin of transformational leadership theory: A systematic review and future research agenda. *The Leadership Quarterly, 31*(1), 101341. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2019.101341>
- Sorn, M. K., Fienena, A. R., Ali, Y., Rafay, M., & Fu, G. (2023). The effectiveness of compensation in maintaining employee retention. *Open Access Library Journal, 10*(7), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.4236/oalib.1110394>
- Sow, M., Ntamon, A., & Osuoha, R. (2016). Relationship between transformational leadership and employee retention among healthcare professionals in the united states. *Business and Economic Research, 6*(2), 235–253. <https://doi.org/10.5296/ber.v6i2.9831>
- Sugiyono. (2007). *Business research methods*. Alfabeta.
- Susanto, P. C., Agusinta, L., Setyawati, A., & Panjaitan, A. R. P. (2023). Determinant organization commitment and development organization: Analysis servant leadership, transformational leadership, transactional leadership. *Formosa Journal of Multidisciplinary Research, 2*(3), 541–558. <https://doi.org/10.55927/fjmr.v2i3.3356>
- Susanto, P. C., Syailendra, S., & Suryawan, R. F. (2023). Determination of motivation and performance: Analysis of job satisfaction, employee engagement and leadership. *International Journal of Business and Applied Economics, 2*(2), 59–68. <https://doi.org/10.55927/ijbae.v2i2.2135>
- Susanto, P. C., Widyastuti, T., Karsono, B., & Soehaditama, J. P. (2023). Implementation of transformational leadership to development organization and world class university strategic (literature review). *International Journal of Business and Applied Economics, 2*(3), 405–418. <https://doi.org/10.55927/ijbae.v2i3.4264>
- Tian, H., Iqbal, S., Akhtar, S., Qalati, S. A., Anwar, F., & Khan, M. A. S. (2020). The impact of transformational leadership on employee retention: Mediation and moderation through organizational citizenship behavior and communication. *Frontiers in Psychology, 11*, 314. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.00314>

- Wang, S., Abbas, J., Sial, M. S., Álvarez-Otero, S., & Cioca, L. I. (2022). Achieving green innovation and sustainable development goals through green knowledge management: Moderating role of organizational green culture. *Journal of Innovation & Knowledge*, 7(4), 100272. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jik.2022.100272>
- Wood, J., Oh, J., Park, J., & Kim, W. (2020). The relationship between work engagement and work–life balance in organizations: A review of the empirical research. *Human Resource Development Review*, 19(3), 240–262. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1534484320917560>
- Yukl, G. (1999). An evaluation of conceptual weaknesses in transformational and charismatic leadership theories. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 10(2), 285–305.
- Zaccaro, S. J., & Klimoski, R. J. (2001). *The nature of organizational leadership: Understanding the performance imperatives confronting today's leaders*. Jossey-Bass.